

Canonical Analysis of the Jackiw-Teitelboim Model in the Temporal Gauge.

I. The Classical Theory

Clisthenis P. Constantinidis^{1,2}, José André Lourenço^{1,2,3},
Ivan Morales^{2,3,4}, Olivier Piguet^{1,2} and Alex Rios^{2,3,4}

* *Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo (UFES), CCE, Departamento de Física,
Av. Fernando Ferrari, 514, BR-29075-910 - Vitória - ES (Brasil).*

E-mails: clisthen@cce.ufes.br, quantumlourenco@gmail.com,
mbllivan@gmail.com, opiguet@yahoo.com, rios_alex@ig.com.br

January 2008

Abstract

As a preparation for its quantization in the loop formalism, the 2-dimensional gravitation model of Jackiw and Teitelboim is analysed in the classical canonical formalism. The dynamics is of pure constraints as it is well-known. A partial gauge fixing of the temporal type being performed, the resulting second class constraints are sorted out and the corresponding Dirac bracket algebra is worked out. Dirac observables of this classical theory are then calculated.

1 Introduction

The full quantization of General Relativity remains an open problem despite the very important advances achieved during the last two decades, mainly within the “loop

¹Work supported in part by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPq (Brazil).

²Work supported in part by the PRONEX project No. 35885149/2006 from FAPES – CNPq (Brazil).

³Work supported in part by the Fundação de Apoio à Ciência e Tecnologia do Espírito Santo – FAPES (Brazil).

⁴Work supported in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – CAPES (Brazil).

quantization” formalism of Ashtekar, Rovelli, Smolin and others (see, e.g., the books and review [1, 2, 3]. It is thus still worthwhile to investigate lower dimensional gravitation theories, where the technical difficulties of the 4-dimensional theory are somewhat milder [4] – [12].

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the canonical formulation of the model of two-dimensional gravity proposed independently by Jackiw and Teitelboim (JT) some time ago [4]. The JT model contains a dilaton-type scalar field, beyond the metric field, in order to have an action which does not reduce to boundary terms. Moreover a cosmological constant is introduced in order to be able to write a non-degenerate action. The invariance of the theory is thus de Sitter or anti-de Sitter (“(A)dS”). We shall start from the “ BF ” formulation introduced in [7], which explicitly identifies the JT model as a topological gauge theory [13], the gauge group being (A)dS.

Since most approaches to loop gravity are based – explicitly or implicitly – on a partial gauge fixing of the temporal type (“temporal gauge”) [1, 2, 3], we shall choose to work with a 2-dimensional version of the temporal gauge. The focus of this paper will be on the classical theory, the quantization being left for future publication [14].

After recalling the main features of the JT model in Section 2, we spell down the canonical formulation of the BF version of the theory in Section 3. Using the quantization scheme of Dirac [15] for theories with constraints, we separate, in section 4, the second class constraints originated from the temporal gauge fixing, and show that the remaining first class constraints generate a gauge symmetry which is equivalent – up to field equations – to the invariance under space-time diffeomorphisms. The classical Dirac observables are shortly discussed in Section 5, and some brief conclusions are given in Section 6.

Part of the material of the present paper has been included by two of the authors [16, 17] in thesis presented as a requirement to the obtention of the Master degree.

2 The Jackiw–Teitelboim Model

2.1 The Jackiw–Teitelboim Action

Pure gravity in 2 space-time dimensions cannot be based on the Einstein-Hilbert action $\int d^2x \sqrt{-g}R$, which is a surface integral, corresponding to an identically vanishing Einstein tensor: $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R \equiv 0$ [4]. A simple but nontrivial model has been proposed long ago independently by Jackiw and by Teitelboim [4]. The model contains, besides the space-time metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$, a dilaton type scalar field $\psi(x)$. Its

action is given by

$$S_{\text{JT}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \psi (R - 2k). \quad (2.1)$$

It is invariant under space-time diffeomorphisms and leads to the Liouville equation

$$R - 2k = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

and to the equation for ψ [5],

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi + k g_{\mu\nu} \psi = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where ∇_μ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative associated to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Eq. (2.2) yields a geometry with constant curvature, the parameter k playing the role of the cosmological constant.

A canonical quantization of this model in terms of the variables $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ψ has been given by Henneaux [5].

2.2 BF Formulation of the Jackiw-Teitelboim Model

The model is equivalent to a BF model based on the gauge group (A)dS, i.e. the 2-dimensional de Sitter or anti-de Sitter group, $\text{SO}(1,2)$ or $\text{SO}(2,1)$, according to the sign of the cosmological constant k [7, 6]. The (A)dS gauge connection is written as

$$A(x) = e^I(x) P_I + \omega(x) \Lambda, \quad (2.4)$$

where the operators P_I ($I = 0, 1$) and Λ are the “translation” generators and the Lorentz boost generator, respectively, obeying the (A)dS algebra⁵

$$[\Lambda, P_I] = \epsilon_I{}^J P_J, \quad [P_I, P_J] = k \epsilon_{IJ} \Lambda. \quad (2.5)$$

The coefficients in (2.4) are the zweibein and Lorentz connection forms

$$e^I = e_\mu^I dx^\mu, \quad \omega = \omega_\mu dx^\mu. \quad (2.6)$$

The space-time metric is given in terms of the zweibein by

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{IJ} e_\mu^I e_\nu^J. \quad (2.7)$$

Introducing the indices $i, j, \dots = 0, 1, 2$ and denoting the generators of (A)dS as J_i :

$$\{J_i\} = \{J_0, J_1, J_2\} = \{P_0, P_1, \Lambda\}. \quad (2.8)$$

⁵By convention the antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{IJ} is defined by $\epsilon_{01} = 1$. The indices $I, J, \dots = 0, 1$ are lowered and raised by the “flat” metric $\eta_{IJ} = \text{diag}(\sigma, 1)$ or its inverse η^{IJ} , where $\sigma = \pm 1$ for the Riemannian, resp. Lorentzian theory.

the algebra (2.5) reads

$$[J_i, J_j] = f_{ij}{}^k J_k = k \epsilon_{ijl} k^{lk} J_k, \quad (2.9)$$

where the nonzero structure constants $f_{ij}{}^k$ are⁶:

$$f_{01}{}^2 = k, \quad f_{12}{}^0 = \sigma, \quad f_{20}{}^1 = 1.$$

(A)dS possesses an invariant nondegenerate quadratic form $\langle J_i, J_j \rangle = k_{ij}$, where k_{ij} is the Killing metric

$$k_{ij} = -\frac{\sigma}{2} f_{ik}{}^l f_{jl}{}^k. \quad (2.10)$$

Explicitly:

$$(k_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} k\eta_{IJ} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.11)$$

This metric and its inverse are used to lower and raise the indices $i, j, \dots$. Notice that a nonvanishing cosmological constant k is necessary in order to ensure the nondegeneracy of the Killing metric.

The “ B -field” of the theory is a Lie algebra valued scalar field

$$\phi = \phi^i J_i =: \varphi^I P_I + \psi \Lambda. \quad (2.12)$$

With the Yang-Mills curvature given by⁷

$$F = F^i J_i \equiv F^I P_I + F^2 \Lambda = dA + A A = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^i dx^\mu dx^\nu J_i, \quad (2.13)$$

the “ BF ” action reads [6, 13]:

$$S_{BF}[A, \phi] = \int \langle \phi, F \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} k_{ij} \phi^i F_{\mu\nu}^j =: \int dt L_{BF} \quad (2.14)$$

where the Lagrangian L_{BF} explicitly reads⁸

$$L_{BF} = \int dx (\phi_i \partial_t A_x^i + A_t^i D_x \phi_i). \quad (2.15)$$

Notice that the curvature components,

$$\begin{aligned} F^I &= dA^I + f_{jk}^I A^j \wedge A^k = de^I + \omega^I_J \wedge e^J, \\ F^2 &= dA^2 + \frac{1}{2} f_{jk}^2 A^j \wedge A^k = d\omega + \frac{k}{2} e^I \wedge e^J \epsilon_{IJ}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

⁶The completely antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{ijl} is defined by $\epsilon_{012} = 1$.

⁷The wedge symbol $\wedge$ for exterior products of forms is omitted.

⁸The values of the space-time indices $\mu, \nu, \dots$ are denoted by t, x . The antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is defined by $\epsilon^{tx} = +1$.

represent the torsion $T^I := F^I$ and the Riemann curvature with cosmological term added, respectively.

The action (2.14), which is invariant under the (A)dS gauge transformations, turns out to be automatically invariant under the diffeomorphisms, on shell, as a general result for topological theories of this type [13].

The field equations are

$$\frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \Phi} = 0, \quad \Phi = \phi_i, \quad A^i, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \phi_i} = F^i = 0, \quad \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta A^i} = D\phi_i.$$

In components, with the notation

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_i) &= (\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2) =: (\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi), \\ (A_x^i) &= (e_x^0, e_x^1, \omega_x) =: (\chi, e_x^1, \omega_x), \\ (A_t^i) &= (e_t^0, e_t^1, \omega_t) =: (N, N^1, \omega_t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

the functional derivatives read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \varphi_0} &= \partial_t \chi - \partial_x N - \sigma(e_x^1 \omega_t - \omega_x N^1), \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \varphi_1} &= \partial_t e_x^1 - \partial_x N^1 - \omega_x N + \chi N^1, \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \psi} &= \partial_t \omega_x - \partial_x \omega_t - k(\chi N^1 - e_x^1 N), \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \chi} &= -D_t \varphi_0 = -(\partial_t \varphi_0 + k N^1 \psi - \omega_t \varphi_1), \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta e_x^1} &= -D_t \varphi_1 = -(\partial_t \varphi_1 + \sigma \omega_t \varphi_0 - k N \psi), \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \omega_x} &= -D_t \psi = -(\partial_t \psi + N \varphi_1 - \sigma N^1 \varphi_0), \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta N} &= D_x \varphi_0 = \partial_x \varphi_0 + k e_x^1 \psi - \omega_x \varphi_1, \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta N^1} &= D_x \varphi_1 = \partial_x \varphi_1 + \sigma \omega_x \varphi_0 - k \chi \psi, \\ \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \omega_t} &= D_x \psi = \partial_x \psi + \chi \varphi_1 - \sigma \omega_x \varphi_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

The two equations which correspond to the variation of the scalar fields φ_I lead to the conditions of zero torsion. Solving them for ω_x and ω_t in terms of the zweibein components e_μ^I shows the equivalence of the BF theory with the Jackiw-Teitelboim theory [7, 6].

3 Canonical Formalism

As usual in the canonical formalism (see, e.g., [18]), we assume for the space-time a topological structure of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$, where the real line $\mathbb{R}$ represents “time”, and Σ is a 1-dimensional manifold of arbitrary but fixed topology, representing “space”. Choosing the components $A_\mu^i(x, t)$ of the connection as the generalized coordinates, the corresponding momenta will be⁹:

$$\pi_i^{A_x}(x) = \frac{\delta L_{BF}}{\delta(\partial_t A_x^i(x))} = \phi_i, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\pi_i^{A_t}(x) = \frac{\delta L_{BF}}{\delta(\partial_t A_t^i(x))} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where L_{BF} is the Lagrangian (2.15). The last equation indicates that we have a singular Lagrangian and must appeal to Dirac’s formalism [15, 19]. This equation amounts to the presence of 3 primary constraints

$$\pi_i^{A_t}(x) \approx 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \quad (3.3)$$

where the symbol $\approx$ means “weak” equality according to the terminology of Dirac: such constraints will be solved only after all Poisson algebra calculations have been performed.

A Legendre transformation yields the Hamiltonian

$$H = - \int dx A_t^i(x) D_x \phi_i(x). \quad (3.4)$$

The Poisson bracket algebra is defined by the brackets of the generalized coordinates and their conjugate momenta. The nonvanishing ones are

$$\{A_x^i(x), \phi_j(y)\} = \delta_j^i \delta(x - y) = \{A_t^i(x), \pi_j^{A_t}(y)\} \quad (3.5)$$

Consistency of the dynamics requires that the primary constraints do not evolve, hence must have (weakly) vanishing Poisson brackets with the Hamiltonian:

$$\dot{\pi}_i^{A_t}(t, x) = \{\pi_i^{A_t}(t, x), H\} \approx 0. \quad (3.6)$$

It results from

$$\{\pi_i^{A_t}(t, x), H\} = \partial_x \phi_i + f_{ij}{}^k A_x^j \phi_k = D_x \phi_i(x),$$

that we must impose the secondary constraints

$$\mathcal{G}_i(t, x) := D_x \phi_i(x) \approx 0. \quad (3.7)$$

⁹In the following, only the dependence on the spatial coordinate, denoted by x, y , etc., will be written explicitly.

We observe that the Hamiltonian (3.4) is made of constraints only, which is expected in a generally covariant theory [19, 20]. The fields A_t^i , which are not dynamical, play the role of Lagrange multipliers.

The primary constraints (3.3) being trivially solved, we are left with the secondary constraints (3.7). These constraints are first class according to Dirac's terminology, since they form a closed Poisson bracket algebra. This algebra is best expressed in terms of the "smeared constraints"

$$\mathcal{G}(\epsilon) = \int dx \epsilon^i(x) \mathcal{G}_i(x), \quad (3.8)$$

where ϵ^i are arbitrary smooth functions. Then

$$\{\mathcal{G}(\epsilon), \mathcal{G}(\eta)\} = \mathcal{G}(\epsilon \times \eta), \quad (3.9)$$

where $(\epsilon \times \eta)^k = f_{ij}{}^k \epsilon^i \eta^j$: this is the local version of the Lie algebra of the group (A)dS. These constraints generate the (A)dS gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{G}(\epsilon), A_x^p(x)\} &= \partial_x \epsilon^p + f_{ji}{}^p A_x^j \epsilon^i = D_x \epsilon^p \\ \{\mathcal{G}(\epsilon), \phi_p(x)\} &= -f_{pi}{}^k \epsilon^i \phi_k = (\phi \times \epsilon)_p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

4 Partial Gauge Fixing

4.1 The Temporal Gauge

Following an approach commonly used for the 4-dimensional theory, as described e.g. in the review [1], we introduce a partial gauge fixing, the "temporal gauge", which consists in making vanish the component $\chi := e_x^0$ of the zweibein. This condition is implemented as a new constraint,

$$\chi \approx 0, \quad (4.1)$$

by modifying the action (2.14) as:

$$S = \int d^2x (\phi_i F^i + B \chi) = \int \int dt dx ((\partial_t A_x^i) \phi_i + A_t^i D_x \phi_i + B \chi), \quad (4.2)$$

where B is a Lagrange multiplier field. This will introduce second class constraints which will be treated using Dirac's formalism [15, 19].

The conjugate momenta and the nonvanishing Poisson brackets are now

$$\pi_i^{A_x}(x) = \phi_i(x), \quad \pi_i^{A_t} \approx 0, \quad \pi^B \approx 0, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\{A_x^i(x), \phi_j(y)\} &= \delta_j^i \delta(x-y), \\ \{B(x), \pi^B(y)\} &= \delta(x-y), \\ \{\pi_j^{A_t}(x), A_t^i(y)\} &= \delta_j^i \delta(x-y).\end{aligned}$$

We have now four primary constraints (the last two weak equalities in (4.3)). Repeating the argument of the preceding section, we arrive at the Hamiltonian

$$H = - \int dx (A_t^i D_x \phi_i + B \chi). \quad (4.4)$$

and four secondary constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_i &:= D_x \phi_i \approx 0, \quad (i = 0, 1, 2) \\ \mathcal{G}_3 &:= \chi \approx 0.\end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The Poisson brackets of these constraints read, in matrix notation and up to constraints:

$$\{\mathcal{G}_\alpha(x), \mathcal{G}_\beta(y)\} = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\partial_x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sigma \omega_x \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma e_x^1 \\ -\partial_x & \sigma \omega_x & -\sigma e_x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y) \quad (4.6)$$

with $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The rank of the matrix $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$ is equal to 2, which means that we have two second class constraints. In order to separate them from the first class ones, we proceed to a redefinition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}'_0(x) &= (e_x^1 \mathcal{G}_0(x) - \sigma(\partial_x e_x^1) \mathcal{G}_2(x) + \sigma e_x^1 \partial_x \mathcal{G}_2(x), \\ \mathcal{G}'_1(x) &= e_x^1(x) \mathcal{G}_1(x) + \omega_x(x) \mathcal{G}_2(x), \\ \mathcal{G}'_2(x) &= \mathcal{G}_2(x), \\ \mathcal{G}'_3(x) &= \mathcal{G}_3(x).\end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The new Poisson bracket matrix is

$$\mathcal{C}'_{\alpha\beta}(x, y) \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{C}'_{ab}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y), \quad (4.8)$$

with the 2×2 submatrix $(a, b = 2, 3)$ given by:

$$\mathcal{C}'_{ab}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma e_x^1 \\ -\sigma e_x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y). \quad (4.9)$$

The latter has an inverse,

$$\mathcal{C}'^{ab}(x, y) := (\mathcal{C}'_{ab}(x, y))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma/e_x^1 \\ \sigma/e_x^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y), \quad (4.10)$$

in the convolution sense, i.e.:

$$\int dz \mathcal{C}'^{ab}(x, z) \mathcal{C}'_{bc}(z, y) = \delta_c^a \delta(x - y).$$

We conclude that the constraints $\mathcal{G}'_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ are first class, whereas $\mathcal{G}'_2$ and $\mathcal{G}'_3$ are second class.

4.2 Dirac Brackets

In order to take care of the second class constraints, continuing to follow Dirac, we define the Dirac bracket between two fields A and B – local functionals of the fields $e_x^1, \omega_x, \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} \{A(x), B(y)\}_D &= \{A(x), B(y)\} \\ &- \int d^3 z_1 d^3 z_2 \left\{ A(x), \mathcal{G}'_a(z_1) \right\} \mathcal{C}'^{ab}(z_1, z_2) \left\{ \mathcal{G}'_b(z_2), B(y) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\mathcal{C}'^{ab}$ is the matrix (4.10). For A and $B = e_x^1, \omega_x, \varphi_1, \psi$ (but not φ_0), the result is simply:

$$\{A(x), B(y)\}_D = \{A(x), B(y)\}. \quad (4.12)$$

Moreover, the Dirac bracket of any field A with a second class constraint is vanishing:

$$\{A(x), \mathcal{G}'_a(y)\}_D = 0, \quad a = 2, 3, \quad \forall A(x), \quad (4.13)$$

which allows to impose the second class constraints as strong equalities:

$$\mathcal{G}'_2 = 0, \quad \mathcal{G}'_3 = \chi = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

The second equality is just the temporal gauge condition, and the first one allows to express φ_0 as a function of the other basic fields

$$\varphi_0 = \sigma \frac{\partial_x \psi}{e_x^1}. \quad (4.15)$$

With this, the first class constraints $\mathcal{G}'_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ become

$$\mathcal{G}'_0(x) = (e_x^1) \mathcal{G}_0(x) = \sigma e_x^1 \partial_x \left(\frac{\partial_x \psi}{e_x^1} \right) + k (e_x^1)^2 \psi - e_x^1 \omega_x \varphi_1, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\mathcal{G}'_1(x) = e_x^1 \mathcal{G}_1(x) = e_x^1 \partial_x \varphi_1 + \omega_x \partial_x \psi. \quad (4.17)$$

The Dirac bracket algebra of these constraints is closed:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), \mathcal{G}'_0(\eta)\}_D &= \sigma \mathcal{G}'_1([\epsilon, \eta]), \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), \mathcal{G}'_1(\eta)\}_D &= -\mathcal{G}'_0([\epsilon, \eta]), \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_1(\epsilon), \mathcal{G}'_1(\eta)\}_D &= -\mathcal{G}'_1([\epsilon, \eta]), \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $[\epsilon, \eta] = (\epsilon \partial_x \eta - \eta \partial_x \epsilon)$, which confirms that $\mathcal{G}'_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ are first class.

4.3 Gauge Symmetry and Invariance Under the Diffeomorphisms

The independent dynamical variables are now the fields e_x^1 , ω_x , φ_1 and ψ . Their nonvanishing Dirac brackets are

$$\{e_x^1(x), \varphi_1(y)\}_D = \delta(x-y) = \{\omega_x(x), \psi(y)\}_D. \quad (4.19)$$

The constraints $\mathcal{G}'_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ generate the following gauge transformations, which are symmetries of the theory:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\varepsilon), \varphi_1(y)\}_D &= \sigma \frac{1}{e_x^1} \partial_x (\varepsilon \partial_x \psi) + 2k\varepsilon e_x^1 \psi - \varepsilon \omega_x \varphi_1, \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\varepsilon), \psi(y)\}_D &= -\varepsilon e_x^1 \varphi_1, \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\varepsilon), e_x^1(y)\}_D &= \varepsilon e_x^1 \omega_x, \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\varepsilon), \omega_x(y)\}_D &= -\sigma \partial_x \left(\frac{1}{e_x^1} \partial_x (\varepsilon e_x^1) \right) - k\varepsilon (e_x^1)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and, for $\mathcal{G}'_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \varphi_1(y)\}_D &= \eta(y) \partial_y \varphi_1(y), \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \psi(y)\}_D &= \eta(y) \partial_y \psi(y), \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), e_x^1(y)\}_D &= \partial_y (\eta(y) e_x^1(y)), \\ \{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \omega_x(y)\}_D &= \partial_y (\eta(y) \omega_x(y)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

These infinitesimal gauge transformations can be rewritten as¹⁰

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), \varphi_1(y)\}_D &= \frac{\epsilon}{e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_0(y) + \frac{\xi^x}{e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_1(y) + \xi^t \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta e_x^1} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sigma}{e_x^1} \lambda \partial_y \psi + \mathcal{L}_{(\xi^t, -\xi^x)} \varphi_1(y), \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), \psi(y)\}_D = \xi^t \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \omega_x} + \mathcal{L}_{(\xi^t, -\xi^x)} \psi(y), \quad (4.23)$$

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), e_x^1(y)\}_D = -\xi^t \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \varphi_1} + \mathcal{L}_{(\xi^t, -\xi^x)} e_x^1(y), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), \omega_x(y)\}_D = -\xi^t \frac{\delta S_{BF}[A, \phi]}{\delta \psi} + \sigma \partial_y \lambda + \mathcal{L}_{(\xi^t, -\xi^x)} \omega_x(y), \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{\epsilon}{N} \partial_y N - \frac{\epsilon}{e_x^1} \partial_y e_x^1 - \partial_y \epsilon, \quad \xi^t = \frac{\epsilon e_x^1}{N}, \quad \xi^x = \frac{\epsilon N^1}{N}. \quad (4.26)$$

¹⁰For the transformations generated by $\mathcal{G}'_0$, the field equations (2.19) are used and some heavy algebraic manipulations are necessary.

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \varphi_1(y)\}_D &= \mathcal{L}_{(0,\eta)}\varphi_1(y) \\
\{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \psi(y)\}_D &= \mathcal{L}_{(0,\eta)}\psi(y) \\
\{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), e^1_x(y)\}_D &= \mathcal{L}_{(0,\eta)}e^1_x(y) \\
\{\mathcal{G}'_1(\eta), \omega_x(y)\}_D &= \mathcal{L}_{(0,\eta)}\omega_x(y)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

In the expressions (4.22–4.25, 4.27), the symbol $\mathcal{L}_{(v^t, v^x)}$ represents the Lie derivative in the direction of the vector (v^t, v^x) , which generates the time and space diffeomorphisms. The interpretation of this result is as follows. The time gauge condition (4.1), which breaks gauge invariance, leaves two residual symmetries unbroken. The first one is that of time diffeomorphisms, generated by $\mathcal{G}'_0$, up to constraints, up to field equations (“on-shell realization”), and up to a compensating local Lorentz transformation of parameter λ which takes care of the time gauge condition. The second unbroken invariance is that of space diffeomorphisms, generated by $\mathcal{G}'_1$.

The definition of $\mathcal{G}'_0$ and $\mathcal{G}'_1$ in (4.7) has been chosen in order to be scalar densities of weight 1. This indeed ensures that they form a Lie algebra (4.18) which is closed – in contrast with gravity in higher dimensions where the algebra closes with field dependent structure “constants” [2, 1, 3]. Such a feature is characteristic of 2-dimensional theories with general covariance, such as the bosonic string in the approach of [22].

A new redefinition

$$\mathcal{C}_+ = \frac{\sqrt{-\sigma}}{2} \mathcal{G}'_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}'_1, \tag{4.28}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_- = -\frac{\sqrt{-\sigma}}{2} \mathcal{G}'_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G}'_1, \tag{4.29}$$

leads to the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\mathcal{C}_+(\epsilon), \mathcal{C}_+(\eta)\}_D &= \mathcal{C}_+([\epsilon, \eta]), \\
\{\mathcal{C}_-(\epsilon), \mathcal{C}_-(\eta)\}_D &= \mathcal{C}_-([\epsilon, \eta]), \\
\{\mathcal{C}_+(\epsilon), \mathcal{C}_-(\eta)\}_D &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

which shows a factorization in two classical Virasoro algebras.

To complete this section, let us write the final Hamiltonian

$$H_F = -\int dy \left(\zeta^0(y) \mathcal{G}'_0(y) + \zeta^1(y) \mathcal{G}'_1(y) \right), \tag{4.31}$$

where ζ^0 e ζ^1 are scalar densities of weight -1 in 1-dimensional space. The equations of the dynamical fields generated by this Hamiltonian are

$$\partial_t e^1_x(x) = \left\{ e^1_x(x), H_F \right\}_D = \zeta^0(x) e^1_x(x) \omega_x(x) + \partial_x(\zeta^1(x) e^1_x(x)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \omega_x(x) &= \{\omega_x(x), H_F\}_D = -\sigma \partial_x (\partial_x \zeta^0(x) + \frac{\zeta^0(x)}{e_x^1(x)} \partial_x e_x^1(x)) \\
&\quad - k \zeta^0(x) (e_x^1(x))^2 + \partial_x (\zeta^1(x) \omega_x(x)), \\
\partial_t \varphi_1(x) &= \{\varphi_1(x), H_F\}_D = \sigma \partial_x (\zeta^0 e_x^1) \frac{\partial_x \psi}{(e_x^1)^2} + 2k \zeta^0 e_x^1 \psi - \zeta^0 \omega_x \varphi_1 + \zeta^1 \partial_x \varphi_1, \\
\partial_t \psi(x) &= \{\psi(x), H_F\}_D = -\zeta^0 e_x^1 \varphi_1 + \zeta^1 \partial_x \psi.
\end{aligned}$$

They are equivalent, modulo the constraints, to the field equations (2.19) for the fields e_x^1 , ω_x , φ_1 and ψ .

5 Observables

5.1 In the BF Formalism

Classical observables are gauge invariant functions in phase space. In Dirac's formalism, this means that they are functions $\mathcal{O}$ which have vanishing Dirac bracket with the constraints (4.16-4.17):

$$\{\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{G}_m\}_D \approx 0, \quad m = 0, 1. \quad (5.1)$$

We shall consider the space manifold Σ to be compact, homeomorphic to the circle S^1 . The coordinate x will be denoted by θ , with range $(0, 2\pi)$. The nonvanishing Dirac brackets of the basic fields read

$$\{e_x^1(\theta), \varphi_1(\theta')\}_D = \delta(\theta - \theta') = \{\omega_x(\theta), \psi(\theta')\}_D$$

The two independent observables present in the theory, denoted by T and L , are defined, prior to the time gauge fixing, by¹¹

$$T = \text{Tr } P e^{\oint_s A} = \text{Tr } P e^{\oint_s J_i A^i} = \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} P \oint_{s_1} A \oint_{s_2} A \cdots \oint_{s_{n-1}} A \right) \quad (5.2)$$

$$L = \langle \phi(\theta), \phi(\theta) \rangle = k^{ij} \phi_i(\theta) \phi_j(\theta) \quad (5.3)$$

where A is the (A)dS connection and ϕ the scalar field in the adjoint representations as defined in Subsection 2.2. T , defined by (5.2) is known as a Wilson loop, where P denotes the path ordering in the θ coordinate, and J_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are the generators of (A)dS. The observable L defined by (5.3) is actually global, too, since it is independent of θ as a consequence of the field equations.

¹¹They were calculated by the authors of [11] in the case of the compact gauge group $SU(2)$ – corresponding to (A)dS with $\sigma = k = 1$.

For explicit calculations in terms of the component fields e_μ^I , etc., defined by (2.4) and (2.12), it is useful to take the generators J_i in the fundamental representation as

$$J_0 = P_0 = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{k}\tau_3, \quad J_1 = P_1 = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\sigma k}\tau_1, \quad J_2 = \Lambda = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\sigma}\tau_2,$$

where τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 are the Pauli matrices, the Killing form $<, >$ being represented by the trace. Some useful formulae are

$$J_i J_j = \frac{1}{2} f_{ij}^k J_k - \frac{\sigma}{4} k_{ij}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{Tr}(J_i J_j) = -\frac{\sigma}{2} k_{ij}, \quad \text{Tr}(J_{j_1} J_{j_2} J_{j_3}) = -\frac{\sigma}{4} f_{j_1 j_2}^k k_{k j_3}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (5.5)$$

5.2 In the Time Gauge Formalism

Let us now compute T and L for the time gauge fixed theory and check that the resulting expressions have vanishing Dirac bracket with the constraints $\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_1$. The calculations for $\mathcal{G}_0$ will be performed to the first nontrivial order of the expansion (5.2).

Using explicitly the time gauge condition and the expression of φ_0 given from the second class constraints (see eqs. (4.14, 4.15)), with the help of (5.4, 5.5), we can rewrite (5.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \text{Tr}(1 + \oint_s A + \frac{1}{2!} P \oint_{s_1} A \oint_{s_2} A + \dots) \\ &= 2 - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta_1 \int_0^{\theta_1} d\theta_2 (e_x^1(\theta_1) e_x^1(\theta_2) k + \omega_x(\theta_1) \omega_x(\theta_2)) + O(4), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $O(4)$ means up to terms of order 4 in the basic fields. One can then check, up to this order, that T is an observable:

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_0(y), T\}_D \approx \{\mathcal{G}'_1(y), T\}_D \approx 0 + O(4). \quad (5.7)$$

For the quantity L given by (5.3), we obtain

$$L = k^{ij} \phi_i \phi_j = \frac{\sigma}{k} (\varphi_0)^2 + \frac{1}{k} (\varphi_1)^2 + (\psi)^2, \quad (5.8)$$

with $\varphi_0 = \sigma \partial \psi / e_x^1$. It is easy to check that L has weakly vanishing Dirac brackets with the constraints:

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_0(\epsilon), L\}_D = -2 \frac{\epsilon \varphi_0}{k e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_1(x) + 2 \frac{\epsilon \varphi_1}{k e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_0(x) \approx 0, \quad (5.9)$$

$$\{\mathcal{G}'_1(\epsilon), L\}_D = 2 \frac{\sigma \epsilon \varphi_0}{k e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_0(x) + 2 \frac{\epsilon \varphi_1}{k e_x^1} \mathcal{G}'_1(x) \approx 0. \quad (5.10)$$

Hence L defines an observable, too.

6 Conclusion

The canonical construction of the classical theory in the time gauge has been completed in the Dirac formalism, including the discussion of the observables.

This represents a first step towards the construction of the corresponding quantum theory using the loop quantization techniques [21, 14].

Acknowledgments. We thank Alejandro Perez for very useful discussions.

References

- [1] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, “Background independent quantum gravity: A Status report”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 21 (2004) R53, e-Print Archive: gr-qc/0404018.
- [2] C. Rovelli, “Quantum Gravity”, Cambridge Monography on Math. Physics (2004).
- [3] T. Thiemann, “Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity”, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (2007).
- [4] R. Jackiw, in “Quantum Theory of Gravity”, edited by S. Christensen (Hilger, Bristol, 1984);
C. Teitelboim, *Phys. Lett.* B126 (1983) 41;
C. Teitelboim in “Quantum Theory of Gravity”, edited by S. Christensen (Hilger, Bristol, 1984).
- [5] Marc Henneaux, “Quantum Gravity in Two-Dimension: Exact Solution of Jackiw Model”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 (1985) 959.
- [6] T. Fukuyama and K. Kamimura, “Gauge Theory of Two-Dimensional Gravities”, *Phy. Lett.* 160B (1985) 259.
- [7] K. Isler and C.A. Trugenberger, “Gauge Theory of Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63 (1989) 834.
- [8] W. Kummer, H. Liebl, and D. V. Vassilevich, “Exact path integral quantization of generic 2-d dilaton gravity”, *Nucl. Phys.* B493 (1997) 491.
- [9] W. Kummer, H. Liebl, and D. V. Vassilevich, “Integrating geometry in general 2d dilaton gravity with matter”, *Nucl. Phys.* B544 (1999) 403.
- [10] D. Grumiller, W. Kummer and D.V. Vassilevich, *Phys. Rep.* 369 (2002) 327

- [11] E.R. Livine, Alejandro Perez, C. Rovelli, “2D manifold-independent spinfoam theory”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 20 (2003) 4425, [arXiv:gr-qc/0102051].
- [12] L. Bergamin and R. Meyer, “Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity with Boundary”, arXiv:0711.3595 [hep-th].
- [13] D. Birmingham, M. Blau, M. Rakowski and G.T. Thompson, “Topological Field Theory”, *Phys.Rept.* 209:129-340,1991. M. Blau and G. Thompson, “Topological Gauge Theories of Antisymmetric Tensor Field”, *Ann. Phys.* 205(1991) 130-172.
- [14] C.P. Constantinidis J.A. Lourenço and O. Piguet, work in progress.
- [15] P.A.M. Dirac, “Lectures on Quantum Mechanics”, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, 1964.
- [16] Alex Rios Costa, “Uma Revisão da Gravitação Bidimensional do Ponto de Vista da Gravitação Quântica de Loops”, Master Degree thesis, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil (2007).
- [17] Luis Ivan Morales Bautista, “Formalismo Hamiltoniano do Modelo de Jackiw-Teitelboim no Calibre Temporal”, Master Degree thesis, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Brazil (2007).
- [18] R.M. Wald, “General Relativity”, The University of Chicago Press (Chicago and London, 1984).
- [19] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, “Quantization of Gauge Systems”, Princeton University Press, 1991.
- [20] D.M. Gitman and I.V. Tyutin, “Quantization of Fields with Constraints”, Springer-Verlang Series in Nuclear and Particle Physics, Berlin Heidelberg, 1990.
- [21] C.P. Constantinidis, J.A. Lourenço, O. Piguet and W. Spalenza, “Quantização da Gravidade em Duas Dimensões via o Formalismo de Laços”, poster presented at the “XXVII Encontro Nacional de Física de Partículas e Campos”, Águas de Lindóia, SP (2006).
- [22] T. Thiemann, “The LQG string: Loop quantum gravity quantization of string theory I: Flat target space”, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 23 (2006) 1923, arXiv: hep-th/0401172.